

Surrender the weapons -- the staged Syrian con

by ivan *Thursday, Sep 12 2013, 10:02am*

international / prose / post

Think SOVIET leaders, then take a good look at Putin the mouse

Which characteristic distinguishes American politicians from other politicians world-wide? Yessiree, you got it in one, American politicians are the world's worst ACTORS/LIARS (effective lying is simply good acting) so there it is, a larger bunch of hopeless liars and inept actors you will not find outside of Washington D.C.



Obama may be smooth in delivery but his 'sideways talking,' slinking eyes and involuntary face gestures give the game away, this man is PURE DECEPTION, total bullshit -- it became obvious when his handlers forced him to 'SIGN' an order (highly unusual) for a Drone strike on a civilian target in Pakistan -- criminal plutocrats need to criminally compromise their new recruits as soon as possible in order to stain them with murderous complicity, Obama was happy to oblige.

Since committing his first war crime against the citizens of a neutral nation, Obama has reneged and back-flipped on all his pledges and promises; he has in fact accelerated the Bush agenda and added his own nefarious touches of indefinite detention and presidential kill lists -- anyone on the planet that imagines this man to be anything other than a criminal is sorely DELUDED.

We have easily established that the president of the USA is a criminal -- nothing new in that as his predecessor was also a heinous criminal that is unable to travel the world for fear of arrest in many nations -- a similar fate awaits Obama, if a bullet doesn't get him first!

But to return to inept acting school. Obama at least appears (at times) to be telling the truth, whereas his Secretary of State, John Kerry, would have to be the most inept lying buffoon ever allowed to function as a high dignitary in any government in the world -- he is pathetic in every respect, he is a terrible liar and ACTOR, it is obvious; if he's not pilled-out or sedated, he's tripping over his own tongue and clumsy gestures. Truth carries with it the power of authenticity which speaks to all humans on an instinctive, subliminal level.

View the [video](#) in which Kerry appears to be making a spontaneous, throw-away comment about Assad handing all his chemical weapons over to UN (?) and other authorities and avoiding a US attack, and then stating that it won't/can't happen or it couldn't be done! His nervous hand gestures to particular spoken phrases are particularly interesting.

Well, why can't it be done? It's fuckin' easy, as Russia -- which is in fact part of the charade with its "American partners" -- is proving by offering Assad a 'way out' by pretending that the US made a blunder; again I refer to the video, watch closely and you will see the tell-tale signs of lies and deceit

-- this is actually an old police trick used to fool criminals into thinking that they were exploiting someone else's mistake and it usually worked, especially when the subject was under extreme pressure.

It's no secret that Obama was way out on a limb in a highly compromising position and needed a face-saving way out to diffuse his threats of taking sole responsibility for an illegal attack on Syria. The worn police strategy may just prove successful but dictators are highly suspicious and paranoid and Israel is a very unpredictable, demanding and interfering ally, so it's anyone's guess!

I receive numerous emails about my views on Putin (full of shit) and Russia's position, which Lavrov made very clear -- NO DIRECT intervention in Syria under any circumstances! Well why fuckin' not? Syria is supposed to be an ally of Russia but that was old Russia, new Russia doesn't believe in supporting allies in their time of greatest need, as RECENT HISTORY clearly indicates! How many times do people need to see Russia 'cave' and do NOTHING to assist its allies, before the penny drops?

Track records speak much louder than rhetoric and in this regard Putin's record is perfect to date; PUTIN will do NOTHING but make noises and pretend he opposes his "American partners" -- his words not mine!

A typical example of Putin performing follows:

A Plea for Caution From Russia

by Vladimir V. Putin

MOSCOW — Recent events surrounding Syria have prompted me to speak directly to the American people and their political leaders. It is important to do so at a time of insufficient communication between our societies.

Relations between us have passed through different stages. We stood against each other during the cold war. But we were also allies once, and defeated the Nazis together. The universal international organization — the United Nations — was then established to prevent such devastation from ever happening again.

The United Nations' founders understood that decisions affecting war and peace should happen only by consensus, and with America's consent the veto by Security Council permanent members was enshrined in the United Nations Charter. The profound wisdom of this has underpinned the stability of international relations for decades.

No one wants the United Nations to suffer the fate of the League of Nations, which collapsed because it lacked real leverage. This is possible if influential countries bypass the United Nations and take military action without Security Council authorization.

The potential strike by the United States against Syria, despite strong opposition from many countries and major political and religious leaders, including the pope, will result in more innocent victims and escalation, potentially spreading the conflict far beyond Syria's borders. A strike would increase violence and unleash a new wave of terrorism. It could undermine multilateral efforts to resolve the Iranian nuclear problem and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and further destabilize the Middle East and North Africa. It could throw the entire system of international law and order out of balance.

Syria is not witnessing a battle for democracy, but an armed conflict between government and opposition in a multireligious country. There are few champions of democracy in Syria. But there are more than enough Qaeda fighters and extremists of all stripes battling the government. The United States State Department has designated Al Nusra Front and the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant, fighting with the opposition, as terrorist organizations. This internal conflict, fueled by foreign weapons supplied to the opposition, is one of the bloodiest in the world.

Mercenaries from Arab countries fighting there, and hundreds of militants from Western countries and even Russia, are an issue of our deep concern. Might they not return to our countries with experience acquired in Syria? After all, after fighting in Libya, extremists moved on to Mali. This threatens us all.

From the outset, Russia has advocated peaceful dialogue enabling Syrians to develop a compromise plan for their own future. We are not protecting the Syrian government, but international law. We need to use the United Nations Security Council and believe that preserving law and order in today's complex and turbulent world is one of the few ways to keep international relations from sliding into chaos. The law is still the law, and we must follow it whether we like it or not. Under current international law, force is permitted only in self-defense or by the decision of the Security Council. Anything else is unacceptable under the United Nations Charter and would constitute an act of aggression.

No one doubts that poison gas was used in Syria. But there is every reason to believe it was used not by the Syrian Army, but by opposition forces, to provoke intervention by their powerful foreign patrons, who would be siding with the fundamentalists. Reports that militants are preparing another attack — this time against Israel — cannot be ignored.

It is alarming that military intervention in internal conflicts in foreign countries has become commonplace for the United States. Is it in America's long-term interest? I doubt it. Millions around the world increasingly see America not as a model of democracy but as relying solely on brute force, cobbling coalitions together under the slogan "you're either with us or against us."

But force has proved ineffective and pointless. Afghanistan is reeling, and no one can say what will happen after international forces withdraw. Libya is divided into tribes and clans. In Iraq the civil war continues, with dozens killed each day. In the United States, many draw an analogy between Iraq and Syria, and ask why their government would want to repeat recent mistakes.

No matter how targeted the strikes or how sophisticated the weapons, civilian casualties are inevitable, including the elderly and children, whom the strikes are meant to protect.

The world reacts by asking: if you cannot count on international law, then you must find other ways to ensure your security. Thus a growing number of countries seek to acquire weapons of mass destruction. This is logical: if you have the bomb, no one will touch you. We are left with talk of the need to strengthen nonproliferation, when in reality this is being eroded.

We must stop using the language of force and return to the path of civilized diplomatic

and political settlement.

A new opportunity to avoid military action has emerged in the past few days. The United States, Russia and all members of the international community must take advantage of the Syrian government's willingness to place its chemical arsenal under international control for subsequent destruction. Judging by the statements of President Obama, the United States sees this as an alternative to military action.

I welcome the president's interest in continuing the dialogue with Russia on Syria. We must work together to keep this hope alive, as we agreed to at the Group of 8 meeting in Lough Erne in Northern Ireland in June, and steer the discussion back toward negotiations.

If we can avoid force against Syria, this will improve the atmosphere in international affairs and strengthen mutual trust. It will be our shared success and open the door to cooperation on other critical issues.

My working and personal relationship with President Obama is marked by growing trust. I appreciate this. I carefully studied his address to the nation on Tuesday. And I would rather disagree with a case he made on American exceptionalism, stating that the United States' policy is "what makes America different. It's what makes us exceptional." It is extremely dangerous to encourage people to see themselves as exceptional, whatever the motivation. There are big countries and small countries, rich and poor, those with long democratic traditions and those still finding their way to democracy. Their policies differ, too. We are all different, but when we ask for the Lord's blessings, we must not forget that God created us equal.

© 2013 The New York Times Company

It's difficult isn't it, but that is what expertise is all about, and mine rarely lets me down.

Putin is full of shit and will do nothing to oppose a US attack on Syria, and Washington knows it -- the rest is for show and to convince morons that there are two opposing forces where only one nefarious force exists.

<http://tinyurl.com/ogbzwd6>

Jungle Drum Prose/Poetry. <http://jungledrum.lingama.net/news/story-812.html>