

[A rare open] Communication from the Underground

by jude Sunday, Jul 28 2013, 2:41am

international / prose / post

RE: the 'swat' piece

it sure is, brother -- but as unintentionally deceptive as that excellent text is -- it misses the point -- if i may elucidate:

reading is both an active and passive process, the active component is the construction of meaning via the cultural content in the mind of the reader (decoding text) and the passive process is surrendering to the text/language/culture in order to derive that 'meaning' -- a very interesting dichotomy! Yet the reader in actuality surrenders to his/herself in that all meaning is contained in the subjective realm of experience and so a text is a reflection of a person's own subjectivity! Only very few in history have been aware of and able to escape this process.

A very astute french academic (Roland Barthes) announced the death of the 'author' in '77, post-modernism followed -- have a read, it's a great, short piece:

http://cleaves.zapto.org/news/story-1953.html?search_text=barthes&search_comments=on#comment1209

The above process also applies to reading all 'texts;' though, if a 'text' (TV, video) contains human active intervention that intervention is able to steer the passive reader to a predetermined meaning - - FOX news is a very good example of this -- you will note i am viewing the world as text to be decoded! [Btw, this view conforms to Tantric theory, as set down in Woodroffe's, "Garland of Letters," though he was unaware of its full implication -- the original Tantric author, clearly was not!]

n'way, i am veering slightly. so you see that analysing a 'text/world' rather than 'reading' it, gives the reader/decoder a far broader view and a considerable advantage, as every text contains numerous levels of unintended 'code'/meaning -- that is why every lie points to the truth it attempts to veil; for example, the source of the anthrax letters was easily traced to the government as was the 9/11 inside job/fraud, notwithstanding that we have been dealing with reprehensible criminal regimes in Washington for over a decade.

but to return to the dilemma that faces many authors and commentators today, such as yourself. The average reader is locked in a passive process of either his/her own subjective experience (limited knowledge = limited meaning) or is steered via 'active' human intervening 'texts/information' -- not confined to the mass media FOX news etc, but also to so-called 'free' (though censored by Google) video information (youtube etc).

So-called leftist commentators like Chomsky and Hedges, knowingly engage in defeatist rhetoric -- fait accompli statements like, 'they (ruling elite) own the world;' there is no hope for Bradley Manning/Snowden/Assange and/or FUTURE truth-tellers, etc, did the world get the message? - lol!

The fact that the ruling elite (temporarily) own nothing more than the minds of the population is rarely, if ever articulated -- we know how easily those 'minds' are managed. it is simplicity itself to

alter or change current views given the means, which are freely available today; notwithstanding active censorship practices by data handlers such as Google, Apple, gov agencies and others, it remains supremely accessible to our group and other active real elite groups.

Regarding negative or defeatist discourse; of course, it is widely known that Nothing positive can be derived from anything negative unless it is approached as anti-thesis. And so hopefully u now understand why i have taken 'esteemed' commentators like Chomsky and Hedges to task recently -- please note, it is immaterial whether they engage in PASSIVE, defeatist, rhetoric or negative discourse intentionally or unintentionally, the EFFECT is the same and so they must be EXPOSED at every turn in order to reveal their true stripe.

the solution, as always, is simplicity itself and the linked textual examples should act as a blueprint for our group and other crewz; the means of real change/intervention must also be readily available and accessible to EVERYONE in order to engage readers ACTIVELY and for traction/fomentation to occur.

<http://jungledrum.hopto.org/news/story-716.html>

<http://jungledrum.hopto.org/news/story-714.html>

The active discourse must of necessity be reductionist; by reducing discourse to its most distilled essential level in the form of an active binary proposition/OPPOSITION the READER is CONFRONTED and is forced to face him/herself as a despicable coward and shameful human being or to CONTRIBUTE to positive change via readily accessible and SAFE means.

Ah! the 'handbrake,' but its covered, until next time, ol' friend.

always a pleasure my very esteemed friend and brother, (please arrange to have this communication published.)

Ferrite

Om Shanti

as always, the future is ours -- see how easily evil elites have been forced to turn their vile machinations and efforts on themselves, and they think 'they' thought of it -- lol

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/poisonous-veracity-swat-that-lie/5344057>

Jungle Drum Prose/Poetry. <http://jungledrum.lingama.net/news/story-718.html>