

## Law Flouting Rogue State Intends to Remain in Syria After Terrorists Routed


by South Front via jane - ICH Saturday, Nov 25 2017, 7:35pm

international / prose / post

### How Will Iran, Russia And Turkey React To U.S. Decision To Stay In Syria

*Indeed, the rogue, criminal USA couldn't give a damn about international law, the US-Saudi-Israeli sponsored proxy war in Syria is virtually over and peace negotiations have been planned. However, the USA will have none of it and intends to pursue its 'Assad must go,' policy and ILLEGAL occupation, but this time utilising the bought Kurds as pawns instead of the failed ISIS, Nusra and Al-Qaeda terrorists.*

*The overtly criminal USA is DIRECTLY responsible for the murder of millions of innocent civilians and children in the Middle East and the displacement of tens of millions more, yet the OBVIOUSLY partial, politicised Hague courts have yet to haul one American into the dock for war and other crimes against humanity, ABSURD!*



***Thoroughly corrupt, COWARD, Putin***

*The option is open to Russia and the legitimate Syrian government to pursue this matter in the appropriate international courts thereby putting both the puppet courts and the USA on the spot, as there is absolutely no legal grounds for any US presence in Syria and other illegally occupied nations in the region. However, Putin has never opted for the legal challenge a sure victory as any specialist lawyer would advise, so what gives, Mr corrupt Putin?*

South Front article follows:

Following the meeting of Russia, Iran, and Turkey in Sochi, where the end of the war was announced and the beginning of the post-war regulation process meant to decide Syria's future took place, the US media began reporting on the fact that the US plans to stay in Syria despite the collapse of ISIS, and also will use the Kurds to pressure al-Assad's government.

1. This has been mentioned repeatedly: despite officially supporting Syria's territorial integrity, unofficially they try to strike back for their strategic failure at toppling al-Assad's government, which was unsuccessful mainly thanks to Russia and Iran.

Washington repeatedly voiced its dissatisfaction with the way war in Syria went, along with anxieties regarding the consequences for the US, Israel and Saudi Arabia

concerning the growing role of Russia and Iran in the region.

2. The White House does not state this officially, as the US understands the tenuity of its positions in Syria, because as far as international law is concerned, this is just another case of US aggression against a sovereign state. On the other hand, the US couldn't care less about international law and sovereignty other than its own. But some things shouldn't be said as they are out loud or you'll look bad. You have to camouflage what you say, like inviting the unrecognized government of Rojava or inventing a non-existent UN permission to invade. The reporting mentioned above is useful, because it demonstrates real US intentions, and not declarative ones. This is useful because it shows there is no point in hoping that the US wants to negotiate and show "goodwill".

3. Russia has led an informational and diplomatic campaign with the intent of driving the US forces out of Syria. The accusations by Russia's Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Ministry of Defense are officially supported by Syria, Turkey, and Iran as they are also interested in driving out the Americans out of Syria, because the US is the main obstacle to ending the war. Besides having common goals linked to keeping al-Assad in power and keeping Syria's territory intact, Turkey and Iran pursue their own goals. Iran wants to secure the Shi'ite bridge between Tehran and Beirut (which may be hindered by the Syrian Kurdistan project), and Erdogan wants to weaken Kurdistan Workers' Party and stop the Syrian Kurdistan from forming under control of Kurdistan Workers' Party-affiliated organizations. Nobody wants to go to a full-fledged war with the US, but the now popular hybrid wars leave many avenues of combating the hegemon.

4. For now, the main strategy is involving reasonable Kurdish Rojava leaders in the conversation, so that the Kurds will be represented in the negotiations allowing them to find contact points with al-Assad regarding the future of the Kurds as a part of Syria. That's why Russia put a stop to Erdogan's ambitious plans regarding Afrin and tries to persuade Turkey that the Kurds can be negotiated with, and that nothing bad will come out of sitting with the Kurds, when you already sit down with much more radical organizations, which are considered "moderate terrorists" due to current political climate. Compared to them, some Kurdish groups are much more reasonable and legal, but only until the situation escalates past the point of no return.

5. If negotiations with the Kurds fall through, and the US will be successful in cultivating Kurdish separatism, than Plan B comes into action, which entails pressuring the Kurds with the following:

-- Syria, Turkey and Iraq can block the oil exports from Rojava, and ban imports to it, the very same threats previously used for trying to keep Iraqi Kurdistan in line. The US won't be able to provide Rojava with all necessary supplies by air.

-- The Kurdish-Arab conflict can be escalated on the territories under the Kurdish control with the majorly Arab populace. This will sow disarray in Rojava, with possible creation of SDF opposed forces.

-- The Kurdish groups involved in the US plans can be designated as terrorist organizations (this will also lead to improving Russia's and Syria's relations with Turkey).

-- Russia can stop protecting Afrin. Iran and Iraq can block the border crossing at Faysh

Khabur and cut economic and logistic ties between Iraqi Kurdistan and Rojava.

-- The Syrian Army and Shi'ite units can do a repeat of Iraqi Kurdistan: they will make a deal with the reasonable organizations, and unreasonable ones will be crushed like Barzani.

-- The final solution: they can "release the Kraken" by letting the Turkish Army into Rojava under the pretense of "fighting terrorism". This is an undesirable option, as it would make "friend Recep" stronger, but it isn't out of the question completely.

In the end, there is a considerable amount of options to put pressure on Rojava if the US escalates the situation up to the level of unavoidable conflict, which, as the US periodically demonstrates, it seems to hope for, despite all the claims that they have no hidden agenda in Syria.

So far Russia and friends try to persuade the Kurds that they shouldn't follow Barzani's example and risk a scenario they will regret. You can yell "America is with us" and photograph girls holding assault rifles all you want, but when push comes to shove, the situation will escalate to a conflict completely out of the Kurds' depth. As far as the US is concerned, the Kurds are only a means to an end, a fact that Washington doesn't even hide anymore. The US wants to use the Kurds as fuel for the continuation of the war in Syria, showing no concern over the losses among the Kurds.

From this perspective, it would be best for everyone, including the Kurds, if Russia can make the Kurdish chiefs see the things the way it does. And if al-Assad and Erdogan soften their stances regarding the Kurdish question, they may find a compromise that would satisfy all sides.

Whether this is possible we'll see in 2018. Russia is not interested in prolonging the Syrian war. Quite the opposite: the successful results should be diplomatically secured as soon as possible, which the US tries to hinder. This conflict demonstrates that despite the military collapse of ISIS, Syria still has a lot of problems that will have to be solved with the help of Iran and Turkey. But nobody said it would be easy.

Copyright applies.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/48282.htm>

---

Jungle Drum Prose/Poetry. <http://jungledrum.lingama.net/news/story-3016.html>