

Short, Sweet and to the Point -- You're Covered by Copyright Law

by jasmine Thursday, Oct 5 2017, 5:40am

international / prose / post

Understand that you own the copyright on your person, image, behaviour and anything that issues from YOU. Which means that mega-Tech companies that profit from your behaviour online or elsewhere, your image, or any other direct and indirect emanation, are in BREACH of copyright as they PROFIT from almost everything you do, say and choose in the digital realm. Without your explicit consent to EVERY transaction they make, like selling for profit data accrued from your actions/behaviour etc, -- they are liable and you are entitled to COMPENSATION as their stupid consent agreements do not completely inform the user how PERSONAL data, including behaviour/actions, is being used.

People constantly whine and whinge about the loss of privacy today, I beg your pardon, there is no loss only theft and liability for those that profit from you and your PERSON.

But what can one person do? Indeed, one person is no match for Google or Facebook, HOWEVER, imagine if you would, millions of abused citizens involved in a class action against these unscrupulous, rogue IT companies which have failed to inform users of every aspect in the way in which they profit from user data and to whom it is sold or given. No-one OWNS you, and you are entitled if people are making profits from YOU -- that bloody simple.

All that is required to teach these arrogant mega-companies a lesson is a simple class action involving abused citizens that use the services of so-called benign IT companies. Copyright at least as it applies in Australia and internationally, protects every aspect of your being -- believe and verify for yourselves, it's true.

Have a nice abused, EXPLOITED day or mount a class action, which would ruin or at the very least FORCE IT companies to review their parasitic, illegal enterprises and insidious surveillance schemes.

You have everything to gain and absolutely nothing to lose if you mount a class action which could involve millions of abused and exploited citizens WORLD-WIDE!

[Share around and regain control of YOUR LIFE!]

ADDITIONAL NOTE in response to email inquiries:

We have read many consent agreements from large Tech and IT companies -- you would note if you take the trouble to read in detail the terms and conditions -- that these companies avoid like the plague stating OVERTLY and clearly that they INTEND to profit financially from YOUR person. This tendency to obfuscate and hide the PRIMARY raison d'etre of these companies is far from wise legal practice. The FACT that users are not CLEARLY informed of the PRIME intention of these companies, to profit from copyrighted user information, opens the door to litigation and legal challenge.

Also note that a class action involving millions of people against large entities like Google and Facebook, would likely succeed for a number of reasons, as one battery of lawyers fights another battery of lawyers over an extended period, which fight would inevitably damage the brand and reputation of the company involved, as aspects of the case become public knowledge.

Regardless of the outcome of this inexpensive (for the individual) action from millions off complainants in a class action, lawyers and executives of large IT companies would soon realise that the legal contest itself would undermine the company's BRAND and REPUTATION and perhaps even force change to policies that the company dreads.

It is known that these legal contests, notwithstanding the scale of the proposed class action, create a no-win situation for the company involved, regardless of outcome. The action itself, involving legal searches/disclosure which proceedings often reveal sordid information which then becomes public knowledge via the mass media, of course in the public interest, do irreparable damage to any deceitful or shady entity by forcing disclosure of many facts that the company's would prefer to veil in secrecy, e.g. such as relations with the CIA, NSA, FBI, etc.

The fact that none (IT companies) to our knowledge clearly disclose the primary objective of the company to PROFIT from YOUR PERSON damns them morally and legally, as stating up front the primary intention (profit) would clearly have avoided challenge, BUT NO, these companies fear such overt disclosures for many reasons and intentionally or unintentionally OBFUSCATE, and often hide behind the claim that they are "enhancing user experience," without mentioning the PROFIT motive, which intentional gross omission opens the door to numerous legal challenges involving copyright, contract and other aspects of LAW.

Stating clearly how personal data is utilised and transacted commercially is actually in the company's best interest, however, clearly, good lawyers are a rarity in the USA, as is good government and good company leadership. As it/hey stand, consent agreements wreak and beg for challenge in the form of class actions.

No one need be a lawyer to understand that any disinclination to state the primary purpose/objective of any company creates doubt and suspicion. Perhaps the world is overdue for such an action which could easily be mounted internationally via the internet.

We hope this additional information satisfies the many questions and misunderstandings many readers seem to have. Remember, legal actions are not clinical, they have ramifications sometimes unexpected and it is the large companies that have EVERYTHING to lose NOT the millions involved in such a class action. This is one example of how the small effectively overcomes the large.

Jungle Drum Prose/Poetry. <http://jungledrum.lingama.net/news/story-2916.html>