Washington is Leading the U.S. and its Vassal States to Total Destruction

by Dr Paul Craig Roberts via shirl - ICH *Sunday, May 7 2017, 10:18pm* international / prose / post

A reader asked why neoconservatives push toward nuclear war when there can be no winners. If all die, what is the point?



The answer is that the neoconservatives believe that the US can win at minimum and perhaps zero damage.

Their insane plan is as follows: Washington will ring Russia and China with anti-ballistic missile bases in order to provide a shield against a retaliatory strike from Russia and China. Moreover, these US anti-ABM bases also can deploy nuclear attack missiles unknown to Russia and China, thus reducing the warning time to five minutes, leaving Washington's victims little or no time in which to make a decision.

The neoconservatives think that Washington's first strike will so badly damage the Russian and Chinese retaliatory capabilities that both governments will surrender rather than launch a response. The Russian and Chinese leaderships would conclude that their diminished forces leave little chance that many of their ICBMs will be able to get past Washington's ABM shield, leaving the US largely intact. A feeble retaliation by Russia and China would simply invite a second wave US nuclear attack that would obliterate Russian and Chinese cities, killing millions and leaving both countries in ruins.

In short, the American warmongers are betting that the Russian and Chinese leaderships would submit rather than risk total destruction.

There is no question that neoconservatives are sufficiently evil to launch a preemptive nuclear attack, but possibly the plan aims to put Russia and China into a situation in which their leaders conclude that the deck is stacked against them and, therefore, they must accept Washington's hegemony.

To feel secure in its hegemony, Washington would have to order Russia and China to disarm.

This plan is full of risks. Miscalculations are a feature of war. It is reckless and irresponsible to risk the life of the planet for nothing more than Washington's hegemony.

The neoconservative plan puts Europe, the UK, Japan, S. Korea, and Australia at high risk were

Russia and China to retaliate. Washington's ABM shield cannot protect Europe from Russia's nuclear cruise missiles or from the Russian Air Force, so Europe would cease to exist. China's response would hit Japan, S. Korea, and Australia.

The Russian hope and that of all sane people is that Washington's vassals will understand that it is they that are at risk, a risk from which they have nothing to gain and everything to lose, repudiate their vassalage to Washington and remove the US bases. It must be clear to European politicians that they are being dragged into conflict with Russia. This week the NATO commander told the US Congress that he needed funding for a larger military presence in Europe in order to counter "a resurgent Russia."

Let us examine what is meant by "a resurgent Russia." It means a Russia that is strong and confident enough to defend its interests and those of its allies. In other words, Russia was able to block Obama's planned invasion of Syria and bombing of Iran and to enable the Syrian armed forces to defeat the ISIS force sent by Obama and Hillary to overthrow Assad.

Russia is "resurgent" because Russia is able to block US unilateral actions against some other countries.

This capability flies in the face of the neoconservative Wolfowitz doctrine, which says that the principal goal of US foreign policy is to prevent the rise of any country that can serve as a check on Washington's unilateral action.

While the neocons were absorbed in their "cakewalk" wars that have now lasted 16 years, Russia and China emerged as checks on the unilateralism that Washington had enjoyed since the collapse of the Soviet Union. What Washington is trying to do is to recapture its ability to act worldwide without any constraint from any other country. This requires Russia and China to stand down.

Are Russia and China going to stand down? It is possible, but I would not bet the life of the planet on it. Both governments have a moral conscience that is totally missing in Washington. Neither government is intimidated by the Western propaganda. Russian Foreign Minister Lavrov said yesterday that we hear endless hysterical charges against Russia, but the charges are always vacant of any evidence.

Conceivably, Russia and China could sacrifice their sovereignty for the sake of life on earth. But this same moral conscience will propel them to oppose the evil that is Washington in order not to succumb to evil themselves. Therefore, I think that the evil that rules in Washington is leading the United States and its vassal states to total destruction.

Having convinced the Russian and Chinese leaderships that Washington intends to nuke their countries in a surprise attack (see, for example,

http://www.fort-russ.com/2017/04/us-forces-preparing-sudden-nuclear.html
), the question is how do
Russia and China respond? Do they sit there and await an attack, or do they preempt Washington's attack with an attack of their own?

What would you do? Would you preserve your life by submitting to evil, or would you destroy the evil?

Writing truthfully results in my name being put on lists (financed by who?) as a "Russian dupe/agent." Actually, I am an agent of all people who disapprove of Washington's willingness to use nuclear war in order to establish Washington's hegemony over the world, but let us understand what

it means to be a "Russian agent."

It means to respect international law, which Washington does not. It means to respect life, which Washington does not. It means to respect the national interests of other countries, which Washington does not. It means to respond to provocations with diplomacy and requests for cooperation, which Washington does not. But Russia does. Clearly, a "Russian agent" is a moral person who wants to preserve life and the national identity and dignity of other peoples.

It is Washington that wants to snuff out human morality and become the master of the planet. As I have previously written, Washington without any question is Sauron. The only important question is whether there is sufficient good left in the world to resist and overcome Washington's evil.

 $\underline{http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/46999.htm}$

Jungle Drum Prose/Poetry. http://jungledrum.lingama.net/news/story-2648.html