

America: 'Entitled' to Kill

by via jess - Counterpunch *Thursday, Sep 24 2015, 11:29pm*

international / prose / post

After the deaths of mass murdering leaders, Hitler, Mao, Stalin and Pol Pot, the dubious honour of the world's most murderous nation is awarded to the United States, hang your heads in shame Americans. Over SIX million innocent civilians, including 500,000 children in Iraq, countless Indo-Chinese peasants, North Africans and Arabs have been slaughtered by the USA since the Korean conflict. These needless deaths have been 'justified' by various 'humanitarian' pretexts and outright illegal 'regime change' wars. However, the criminals responsible for these horrendous atrocities have never been held to account and the killing continues unabated.

Words could never describe the degree of disgust and searing anger the people of the world share and feel toward the United States -- pure unmitigated HATRED -- and the reason is obvious -- no person, group or nation in a civilised world is justified to commit mass murder, notwithstanding the plain illegality of the offence.

The blame for allowing this appalling tragedy to continue rests primarily with the American people which are commissioned by the founding documents of their nation to uphold the values contained therein and to remove offenders and transgressors and deal with them under law or by direct revolt if the judiciary has been captured by criminal interests. Shame and woe to you Americans for failing yourselves, your nation and the world.

Your failure leaves the entire world with no other choice than to oppose you until you are either reduced to ruin or until you choose to join the global community in peaceful coexistence and harmony; your current criminal trajectory leads only to annihilation, of that fact be acutely aware, as it is happening as I write though the criminally compliant American mass media does everything in its power to suppress that information and reality from you. The result is that everything moral, civilised and legal has flagrantly been cast aside by insane American criminals that have hijacked the nation. If the American people are unable to remedy the threat that now faces the entire WORLD then quite simply others will do it for you and be aware that no single nation and its vassals is able to prevail against a united supremely powerful front. Either restore the founding principles of your nation and hold the known criminals to account or be doomed to extinction, it is that simple today.

Story from Counterpunch follows:

License to Kill

by Luciana Bohne

Let's face it: the United States feels entitled to kill.

On 23 September, Samantha Power, US Ambassador to the United Nations, insisted that the Russian veto power in the Security Council was endangering its legitimacy. Russia had vetoed four Security Council resolutions on Syria. Understandably, the US rabid dogs of war are straining at the chain to which international law constrains them. How dare Russia oppose US plans for regime change in Syria and impede a further blood bath

to achieve it?

An indefatigable humanitarian warmonger, Power resents Russia's opposition to a resolution to bomb the hell out of "atrocities" in Syria, without specifying that the main "atrocities" in her government's eyes is President Assad.

No, no—it's her humanitarian concern over the 250,000 Syrian already dead [she means to add more by bombing in their names]; it's the refugees' flight she means to stem [by blocking their path with bombs].

Russia is preventing all this humanitarianism: "It's a Darwinian universe here," she tells *The Guardian*. "If a particular body reveals itself to be dysfunctional, then people are going to go elsewhere, and if that happened for more than Syria and Ukraine and you started to see across the board paralysis ... it would certainly jeopardise the security council's status and credibility and its function as a go-to international security arbiter. It would definitely jeopardise that over time."

She's right to say, "It's a Darwinian universe," but it's one for which the US is solely responsible, set on insuring the survival of its species along a path of death, destruction, and chaos, shredding international law as it goes. Soberly (how alien feels the voice of reason compared to the screeching tantrums of American functionaries), Vitaly Churkin, Russia's UN Ambassador, responded to Power's allegations by pointing out the obvious:

Some countries were trying to involve the Security Council in regime change operations in Syria and we were telling them that it's not the business of the Security Council to go into regime change mode. This is a fundamental difference and it's not the fault of the Security Council that this difference is there.

It certainly isn't. The task of the United Nations, as per its Charter, is "to prevent the scourge of war"; the task of the Security Council is to resolve disputes, authorizing war only after all other options for peace fail. This awesome responsibility is subject to veto. The veto is a restraining mechanism for members too fond of wars. Besides, nowhere in the Charter does it say that a single member should take it upon itself to go on humanitarian crusades for unilaterally perceived and selectively declared atrocities or genocides, but this option is what the US is beginning to argue for—an option that would permit the removal of the veto in cases of Right to Protect (R2P), the US policy which materialized out of the NATO assault on Yugoslavia. You kill a nation in order to protect it. And Russia is crazy enough to oppose this humanitarian medicine. Legalistic perverts.

Stalin was prescient at Yalta. He accepted to participate in the United Nations only if each of the five permanent members of the Security Council would be allowed veto power. His great concern was prevention of war, which, he argued, could only be achieved through unity and unanimity among the Big Three. Samantha Power's teleprompters work her up in a lather, conveniently forgetting to tell her that the UN Charter is a treaty signed by the US in the name of the people of the United States and is, therefore, the law of the land, as per the US Constitution. Arbitrarily removing Russia from its veto rights in the Security Council violates the UN Charter and, thus, it's unconstitutional.

But what does this lot of inept, ignorant, amoral, public-relations careerist frauds care about the Constitution—or about truth and justice and a harmonious world? They are

drunk with the wine of desolation. Lies and injustice are for them signs of superior intelligence—a joke on the credulous mob. Injustice is a source of strength and happiness, and the privilege of the strong. As the Empire crumbles, only might makes right.

In Plato's Republic, this was the view of Thrasymachus, who voiced the cynicism of a morally and politically deteriorating Athenian state and empire. Socrates counter posed the idea of justice as the bedrock of a harmonious social order, monitored by reason to keep the appetites in check. But the Empire is insatiable—it knows only appetite: more oil, more forests, water, and gas, more copper, iron, gold. As the appetite grows, reason wanes, and the result is a war against humanity and the planet, waged by moral midgets the likes of Presidents Clinton, Bush, and Obama and the minions who descend like locusts to serve them. "The sleep of reason breeds monsters," said Goya. These'RThem—our "public" servants outsourced to Appetite, Inc., the devouring breed.

How refreshing to think of a sensual, passionate quotation by D.H. Lawrence, embracing truth and justice, in contrast to the appetitive society's lust for pleasure in power, a cold and death-embracing passion—a "waste of shame":

The profoundest of all sensualities is the sense of truth and the next deepest sensual experience is the sense of justice.

Copyright applies to external text.

<http://www.counterpunch.org/2015/09/24/license-to-kill-2/>

Jungle Drum Prose/Poetry. <http://jungledrum.lingama.net/news/story-1881.html>